

Town of Queen Creek

1st Quarter Law Enforcement Summary

Building Relationships & Solving Problems



Town of Queen Creek

2012 Executive Summary


Service Levels • Incident Response Summary
Response Time Comparison • UCR Crime Statistics
Notable Changes • Crimes Rate Comparison

The Uniform Crime Report or UCR is a standardized system for the collection and reporting of crime statistics administered by the U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation. UCR Part I crimes consist of crimes against persons (homicide, sexual assault, robbery, Aggravated Assault) and crimes against property (burglary, theft, auto theft and arson).

Contract Service Levels

Service Category	2011	2012	2013
Patrol Beats	5	4	4
Patrol Deputies	25	20	20
Community Policing Deputy	1	1	1
School Resource Officer(s)	2	1	1
Patrol Sergeants	5	5	5
Detectives	2	2	2
Lieutenant	1	1	1
Captain	1	1	1


Incident Response Summary.



Response Times (average)


2010-2012 Yearly Comparison for Priority 1 Calls for Service

- Avg Dispatch Time (Rcv to Disp)
- Avg Deputy Response Time (Disp to OS)




Uniform Crime Statistics (UCR –Part 1)

Crimes Against Person



Uniform Crime Statistics (UCR –Part 1)

Crimes Against Property




Uniform Crime Statistics (UCR –Part 1)

Summary for Crimes Against Person & Property

Crime Against	Classification	Total Reports Taken	2010	2011	2012	Trend Summary
Person	Agg. Assault	26	10	9	7	
	Homicide	1	1	0	0	
	Robbery	13	5	2	6	
	Sexual Assault	16	3	5	8	
Total Crimes Against Persons		56	19	16	21	
Property	Arson	1	0	1	0	
	Auto Theft	70	32	16	22	
	Burglary	531	170	159	202	
	Theft	894	273	329	292	
Total Crimes Against Property		1496	475	505	516	
Total Part I Crimes		1552	494	521	537	


Burglary Summary

2010-2012 Yearly Comparison













Theft Summary

2010-2012 Yearly Comparison



Uniform Crime Statistics (UCR –Part 1)

Summary for Burglary & Theft

	Total CFS/OV Reports Taken	2010	2011	2012	Trend Summary
BURGLARY FROM VEHICLE	265	89	67	109	
BURGLARY	243	72	88	83	
ATTEMPTED BURGLARY	22	9	4	9	
BURGLARY METALS COPPER ALUMINUM	2	1	0	1	
SHOPLIFTING	397	94	167	136	
THEFT	383	143	127	113	
THEFT FROM VEHICLE	78	21	24	33	
CONVENIENCE MARKET THEFT	27	15	6	6	
THEFT METALS COPPER ALUMINUM	23	14	5	4	
RECOVERY OF STOLEN PROPERTY	8	2	4	2	

City Crime Rate Comparison (per 1,000 population)*

City	Population	Violent crime	Murder & non-negligent manslaughter	Forcible rape	Robbery	Aggravated assault	Property crime	Burglary	Larceny-theft	Motor vehicle theft	Arson
Apache Junction	36,347	2.31	0.11	0.14	0.22	1.84	34.09	8.47	23.33	2.28	0.00
Avondale	77,317	3.18	0.08	0.08	1.33	1.69	50.69	9.21	38.48	3.00	0.21
Buckeye	51,596	0.83	0.00	0.02	0.21	0.60	25.99	7.69	17.40	0.89	0.10
Chandler	239,466	2.84	0.01	0.26	0.71	1.86	30.97	5.61	23.97	1.38	0.15
Coolidge	11,992	7.09	0.00	0.67	1.58	4.84	51.03	11.34	37.86	1.83	0.58
El Mirage	32,247	2.95	0.06	0.43	0.71	1.74	32.28	12.00	18.14	2.14	0.16
Florence	25,897	1.31	0.00	0.04	0.04	1.24	7.38	2.09	4.87	0.42	0.08
Gilbert	211,404	0.84	0.01	0.10	0.26	0.47	18.23	3.89	13.70	0.64	0.12
Goodyear	66,199	1.63	0.00	0.29	0.32	1.03	28.10	12.30	14.20	1.60	0.09
Marana	35,456	1.33	0.06	0.20	0.34	0.73	38.72	4.63	31.76	2.34	0.14
Mesa	445,256	4.13	0.04	0.29	1.12	2.68	33.95	6.22	25.62	2.11	0.17
Oro Valley	41,592	0.58	0.02	0.05	0.14	0.36	17.89	3.41	13.85	0.63	0.07
Queen Creek 2010	26,490	0.72	0.04	0.11	0.19	0.38	17.93	6.42	10.31	1.21	0.00
Queen Creek 2011	26,490	0.60	0.00	0.19	0.08	0.34	19.06	6.00	12.42	0.60	0.04
Queen Creek 2012	26,490	0.79	0.00	0.30	0.23	0.26	19.48	7.63	11.02	0.83	0.00
Sahuarita	25,617	0.55	0.00	0.08	0.04	0.43	17.53	2.58	14.44	0.51	0.31
Tempe	164,008	4.80	0.03	0.27	1.45	3.05	54.47	9.63	41.49	3.35	0.17



Town of Queen Creek


1st Quarter

Executive Summary

Incident Response Summary • Response Time Comparison
UCR Crime Statistics • Arrest Summary
Responding to Challenges • Policing Program Paradigm
QC Work Program • Most Frequent CFS-OV

Incident Response Summary


2012 Annual & 1st Quarter (2012-2013) Comparison



Average Response Times: Priority 1 Calls for Service

2012 Annual & 1st Quarter (2012-2013) Comparison

- Avg Dispatch Time (Rcv to Disp)
- Avg Deputy Response Time (Disp to OS)



Uniform Crime Statistics (UCR –Part 1)

12 month Comparison

	Homicide	Sexual Assault	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Theft	Auto Theft	Arson
March 2012	0	0	0	1	9	25	2	0
April 2012	0	0	0	0	23	28	4	0
May 2012	0	1	0	1	18	27	2	0
June 2012	0	1	0	0	27	21	3	0
July 2012	0	1	0	2	14	28	2	0
Aug 2012	0	1	1	0	23	24	1	0
Sept 2012	0	1	2	0	4	24	0	0
Oct 2012	0	1	0	0	12	27	2	0
Nov 2012	0	0	0	0	15	23	0	0
Dec 2012	0	0	0	0	17	15	0	0
Jan 2013	0	1	1	1	13	30	2	0
Feb 2013	0	0	0	1	19	24	6	0
March 2013	0	0	0	2	14	32	1	0
Trend Summary								
12 month Totals	0	7	4	8	208	328	25	0

Arrest Summary

2012 Annual Totals & 1st Quarter (2012-2013) Comparison

ARRESTS TYPE	2012 Annual Totals	2012 1Q	2013 1Q	Trend Summary
Homicide/Manslaughter	0	0	0	
Assault/Endangerment/Resisting	21	4	8	
Robbery	2	0	0	
Domestic Violence	10	2	8	
Threats/Weapons Violations	18	3	0	
Burglary/Theft	88	24	26	
Arson	1	1	0	
Forgery	2	0	0	
Narcotics	35	6	3	
Disorderly Conduct	6	0	8	
Trespassing	5	3	2	
Criminal Damage	4	0	1	
Traffic Violations	167	36	44	
DUI	79	20	14	
Alcohol Violations	71	6	54	
Violation of Court Order/Warrants	94	30	0	
Curfew	7	0	6	
Town Code Violations/Heavy trucks/Illegal Parking	6	0	2	
Other	6	1	0	
TOTALS	622	136	176	
Juvenile Arrests	122	34	51	
Traffic Citations	4175	674	578	

Responding to Challenges

Developing a Successful Work Program

What is monopolizing our time and resources? Top calls for service?


How do we respond to new issues or situations?

Effective vs. Efficient?
Prevention vs. Response?

Targeted policing programs?

Policing Management Paradigm

Our goal is to promote effective organizational strategies that support the systematic use of partnerships and problem-solving techniques, to proactively address the immediate conditions that give rise to public safety issues



Collaborative Community Partnerships.

We will continue to develop collaborative partnerships between the Sheriff's Office and the individuals and organizations we serve in an effort to develop solutions to problems and increase overall trust in the police.



Surrounding Police Agencies (Gilbert PD, Mesa PD, PCSO, etc.)

East Valley Fusion Center, ACTIC, Police Chief Network, etc.

O.R.C.A., Specific Q.C. merchants, & business owners


HOAs, Block Watch groups, Property Management companies, etc.

Volunteer Organizations (QC Posses, Explorers, Boy Scouts, etc.)

Schools, Religious organizations, Medical facilities, Libraries, etc.

Town Government, County Agencies, State & Federal Authorities, etc.

Work Program & Problem Solving



This is a process of engaging in the proactive and systematic examination of identified problems to develop and rigorously evaluate effective responses.

Problem Solving

Development of the DDACTS Model

Foundation


DDACTS is an operational model that uses integration of location-based crime and collision data to establish effective and efficient methods for deploying law enforcement resources. Using geomapping to identify areas that have high incidences of crime and collisions, DDACTS uses traffic enforcement strategies that play a dual role in fighting crime and reducing collisions and traffic violations. Drawing on the deterrent of highly visible traffic enforcement and the knowledge that crime often involves the use of motor vehicles, the goal of DDACTS is to reduce the incidence of crime, collisions, and traffic violations.

Strategy

DDACTS operational strategies for the Town of Queen Creek will be developed & deployed in phases.

Based on ongoing analysis of certain crime, traffic, & activity trends/density, specific "hot spots" or "zones" will be established on a periodic basis (Predictive Policing methodology)

Patrol units will concentrate directed patrol activity in these areas during unallocated patrol periods, specific "peak" times, & other special detail operations.



Zone 1

Zone 2

Zone 3

DDACTS HOT SPOTS
DIRECTED PATROL ZONES
STREET VIEW


East Riggs Road

East Riggs Road

Horseshoe Park & Equestrian Centre

Five Most Frequent Calls for Service or On-View Activity

Citywide 1st Quarter (2012-2013) Comparison



Directed Patrol Allocation


(DDACTS Zones – March 2013)



Zone 3

310 Hours


Sergeants, 62
Community Policing Deputy, 30



Zone 1

383 Hours


Sergeants, 38
Community Policing Deputy, 25



Zone 2

462 Hours

Sergeants, 30
Community Policing Deputy, 10






East Riggs Road

East Riggs Road

Horseshoe Park & Equestrian Centre


Directed Patrol Allocation

(DDACTS Zones – March 2013)



Directed Patrol March 2013

Total Hours & Percentage of Allocation



Burglary Abatement

Zone 3

• No Burglaries

Zone 1

• No Burglaries


Zone 2

• ↓67% Burglary
• ↓80% Vehicle Burglary

DDACTS HOT SPOTS
DIRECTED PATROL ZONES
STREET VIEW



Abatement of Other Property Crime (Theft-Criminal Damage-Shoplifting)





Questions?

Town of Queen Creek

1st Quarter Law Enforcement Summary

Building Relationships & Solving Problems

