



Possible Amendment of the Residential Architectural Design Standards

January 16, 2013





Residential Architectural Design Standards

TOWN OF QUEEN CREEK



Residential Architectural Design Standards May 18, 2005



Background

- Significant Council concern regarding specific portions of the current Residential Architectural Design Standards
 - 40% garage face requirement
 - Four sided architecture
 - Changing lot and home sizes
- Discussion of possible need to update the Standards
 - November 7 Council asked staff to return with options in January to update the Design Standards



- Option One Traditional Approach
 - One Council member
 - One Planning and Zoning Commission member
 - One Chamber of Commerce member
 - One homebuilder
 - Three residents with interest in design
- Pro's
 - Used previously
 - Allows broad participation
- Con's
 - May not provide design expertise
 - Not all members have equal interest in outcome



- Option Two Design Professionals
 - Residents with an architectural background
 - Local architects who volunteer their services
 - Architects who work for local homebuilders who offer their services
- Pro's
 - Results would be clearly design based
 - Town would have access to architectural services that would otherwise not be available
- Con's
 - The process could be too narrowly focused on design and not provide a broad enough range of input



- Option Three Citizen Task Force
 - Seven local residents who are interested in design.
 The Task Force members might:
 - Also be on other advisory committees
 - Represent development related interests such as:
 - Home builders,
 - Realtors
 - Land developers
 - Architects
 - HOA representatives
 - Etc.
- Pro's
 - Allow a fresh perspective
 - Allow more people to be involved in the process
- Con's
 - Members may not have the same level of design knowledge
 - Results may not provide a consistent vision



- Option Four Council Subcommittee
 - Three members appointed
 - Work with staff to develop proposed changes
 - Draft presented to Council for review and consideration
- Pro's
 - Council more directly involved in development of new standards
 - Changes more clearly represent Council interests
- Con's
 - Other interested parties may feel left out of the process



Preliminary Timetable

February

- Selection of Task Force members
- Complete an updated scope of work and timetable
- Retain an architect to assist

March

- Task Force meeting schedule established
- Discussion of timetable w. Task Force
- Possible bus tour to review design issues



Preliminary Timetable

- April
 - Review current requirements and identify areas for change
 - Pictures of good and poor examples
- May
 - Review proposed revisions
- June
 - Select proposed changes and recommended alternatives
- July
 - Draft proposed Residential Architectural Design Standards



Preliminary Timetable

- August
 - Task Force reviews final draft and forwards to Council
- September
 - Final draft report presented to Council
 - Resolution prepared for Council consideration
- Task Force meetings
 - Monthly (more if needed)
 - Open to the public
 - Agendas/minutes provided



Next Steps

- Council determination to proceed and selection of a Task Force option
- Staff to develop the selected option and return in February for Council review and approval of:
 - Task Force membership
 - A timetable to complete the project
 - Selection of an architect to assist the Task Force and staff





Thank You

Questions?

Comments?

